

We had supposed when a new burial place was opened, that burials within the town limits would cease, and we must confess to a little surprise that one of the oldest citizens of the town should be buried in town. This burying in the North part of town has seemed to force the growth Southward, thus leaving some of the new-st ground unoccupied, and besides it is too near town as it is, without reference to its expected growth. It would seem that the above reasons were sufficient to satisfy any thinking person that it were time the evil ceased, but when in addition to this we reflect that burying there is entirely irregular and unauthorized, and a direct trespass of the rights of private property, we cannot see who can justify it. Had the burials been confined to Church Square, the six central lots of block eleven, some of the above objections would have been avoided; but we suppose it is well known that only a small part of the graves are on Church Square at all, or even in Block eleven, at least one third are in the street, and the other third on the east side in Block twelve. Had this evil been regulated years ago it would have cost only a trifle, and the longer it continues the worse the matter becomes. We do not see how any one can suppose that these burials can be permanent, even if all the old settlers desired it. If our town continues to grow, it will be but a short time when the old settlers will be in the minority.

We do not believe any one would desire such a grave because it costs nothing, the price of lots in the new cemetery, no one claims to be unreasonable, and lately we learn that burials are allowed at one dollar apiece, where a lot is not desired. It would seem that this should take away all excuse for burying elsewhere.